

# Connected Communities



Roxana Cavalcanti, Carlie Goldsmith, John Lea, Lynda Measor, Peter Squires & David Wolff.

Young People, social capital, community development, inequality, dis-connection.

## 7KH μ8UEDQ \$OFKHP\¶ RI &RPPXQLW\

Firey, 1945; Rose, 1999; Hill Collins, 2010; Blond, 2009). It permits a confusing ± but ultimately unsatisfying trickerRI¶EDQDOFKHP¶L[LOJEXVIDLOLOJWREOHQG GLVFRQQHFWHGHOPHPOWVKDUPRQLRX\DW]7KLV¶DOFKHP¶VUHIOHFWHGLQ WKHZDWKDWLQ5RVH¶ZRUGVFRPP¶LW\VERWK¶WKHREMHFWDQGWDUJHWIRUWKHH[HUFLVH of political power, whilst remaining, somehow, external to politics and a counterweight to LW¶¶ &RPP¶LW¶HYRNHVHYHUWKLOJZHODFNWREHVHF¶HFROILGHOWDOG WUXWLOJ3DUDGLVHORVWRUSDUDGLVHVWLOOWREHIR¶G¶WKLVLVGHILQLWHO¶RWDSUDGLVH ZKLFKZH¶NQRZIUFR¶ ¶RZQH[SHULHQFH¶D¶DQ

The historical origins of the concept offer insights; community, as Bauman has noted, speaks to nostalgia ± paradise lost ± as ties of place and localism (community as destiny) fragmented under motors of change, production and mobility. Questions of community, as Abrams (1977) pointed out, are related to questions about cohesion and connection in society (Flint and Robinson, 2008). Hill Collins noted its particular, almost magical, utility - despite its epistemological framing as an apolitical, natural concept ± lies in sustaining social relationships across differences in power and status, securing consent, making social inequalities palatable, and linking individuals to social institutions.

characteristics of those communities, but in wider dynamics of de-industrialisation and general economic decline (Mayo, 1981). By the end of the 1970s the CDPs had been closed down (Loney, 1983).

Issues of community came into sharp focus with the 1981 riots. Lord Scarman (1981), made two recommendations directly concerning community policy. Firstly, that poor (black) communities be brought into local consultation processes regarding policing, secondly, that such communities were resourceful and resilient but needed significant additional resources and investment in jobs and education.

Scarman may have been the last time emphasis was unambiguously placed upon the state to respond to the needs of communities. Increasingly the discourse turned in the direction of self-help but also questioned the capacity of communities to activate networks of mutual support. The Barclay Report (1982) saw successful social work involving the activation of community networks, a proposal criticised from the standpoint of the long term weakening of such networks, echoing Willmott and Young's (1960) earlier research.

By the Bradford riots of 2001 the ball was firmly in the community's court. The problems were perceived by policy makers as a lack of 'community cohesion', (now conceptualised as 'social cohesion') that local communities would become successful at attracting business (Flint and Robinson, 2008). The role of the state, now influenced by neoliberal doctrines (Fletcher, 2008), was restricted to assisting development of cohesion rather than directly investing in employment and resources as Scarman advocated. When **New Labour** came to power, however, firmly embracing many aspects of the neoliberal agenda its approach to community renewal was epitomised in the **New Deal For Communities** programme. Many of the ambitions of the New Deal centered upon children and young people ± their identity in late modernity (Measor and Squires, 2000).

No specific body of work focuses upon children and young people and community issues, a consequence we argue of the development of sub-cultural and deviancy studies (Hall 2006) of community and their rejection of dominant values.

experiences of community as its focus, instead it explored: the significance and mechanics of transition to adulthood or to the world of employment (Bradley and Devadason, 2008; Craine, 1997; MacDonald and Marsh 2005; MacDonald, 2006, 2007; 2008; 2009; 2011; Roberts, 2011; Webster **et al** 2004); or the role of peer groups and impact of gang involvement (Deuchar and Holigan, 2010; Moloney **et al**, 2011; Pitts 2008; Ralphs, Medina and Aldridge, 2009; Young and Hallsworth 2010) and how young people experience crime, disorder, community safety and criminalisation in socio-economically distressed neighbourhoods (Deakin 2006; Deuchar 2010; Goldsmith 2006, 2008; 2011; Green **et al** 2000; Nayak 2003; MacDonald, 2006, 2007, 2011; Matthews

et al 1999; McAuley, 2007; Measor and Squires 2000; Sadler 2008; Stephen and Squires 2003; Squires and Stephen 2005; Turner et al 2006; Yates 2006a, 2006b).

The research tells complex stories about how young people are connected to and disconnected from community; stories challenging dominant discourses that young people erode community and pose a threat to it.

Young people operate forms of agency, resilience and psycho-social attachment which underpin important connections to their close friends, family and extended peer groups. Young people consider themselves to be well connected to their communities (MacDonald et al., 2005; Yates 2006a; McAuley 2007; Shah et al., 2010; Goldsmith 2011). Family and friends are, on the basis of the evidence surveyed, the conduit through which mutual aid is given and organised. Connection to neighbourhood networks is vital for young people. A safe place to stay, food, money, clothes, formal and informal (HPSORRHQWRSSRUWQLWLHVDQGFKLOGFDUHDUDYDLODEOHWRWKHFROQQHFWHG\$RNHU3ODHU and Coleman, 1999; Goldsmith, 2011). For young people living in socially and economically GLVWUHVVGQHLJKERXKRRGVVXKFRQQHFWLROVDUHMWKHRQOXDWRVXJLYH¶ (McAuley 2007: 11).

Connections are neither assured nor stable but formed or reinforced, for example, WKH) vj 60AERGE 6 Erma7040055>5F0486004604411 Tm[0047>3000B5>50046005250051>50B-34(r) 300

)URP μ6WUHHW /LIH¶ WR D OLIH μ2Q 5RDG¶

Research sug





capitWDOLOGXWUNQUHFHQWHDUVLPSOLHVcriticalW UHVHDFKROWKLVpOFKHPRI  
FRQWHP SRUDUJRYHUQDQFHIVORQJRYHUGXIDQGZLWKLWWKHQHHGIRUDFULWLFDOHYDOXWLRQ  
RIWKHPDFKLDQDLRQVRIQHZFRPPDLWJRYHUQDQFHDOGWKHFLJVRFLHW

TKLVVFRSLOJSURMHFWVRXKWWRHQJDJHJZLWKFULWLFDOLVVXIVFRQFHUQLOJWKHFROQHFHWGHQHVV  
of young people with their communities and with formal and informal agencies and  
institutions within and servicing those communities. We pursued our review of academic  
and research literature via critical re-LQWHUSUHWDLRQRIGLVFRXVHVRIFRPPDLWDOG  
FRQFHSWVRIRFLDOFDSLWDOHLQJGHSORHGWRXWKHUSUREOHPDWLVHSRRUFRPPDLWLHV:H  
consulted research findings which explored the life-worlds of poor communities and  
interrogated the connections that individuals in distressed and impoverished  
environments established amongst themselves and with the extended state. We  
advocated the adoption and development of research methodologies which enhance  
investigation of these issues, exploring the nature and consequences of neo-liberalism  
whilst contributing to problem-solving and social justice.

The research evidence in the literature provided ample testimony to the complex and  
ambiguously connected lives of young people in poor communities and, in feeding our



Fitzpatrick, Suzanne., Hastings, Annette. and KiQWUHD.HLWKPKW,QYROYPHQWLO  
8UEDQ5HJHQHUDWLRQDUG/HVVRQV)XWH'LUHFWRQ**Policy & Politics.** 28 (4): 493-509  
(2000).

Fletcher, Del Roy. Employment and disconnection: cultures of worklessness in communities,  
in J. Flint, & D. Robinson, **Community Cohesion in Crisis: New Dimensions of Diversity and  
Difference** . Bristol, Policy Press (2008).

Flint, John and Robinson, David. (Eds). **Community Cohesion in Crisis? New Dimensions  
of Diversity and Difference** . Bristol: The Policy Press (2008)

Goldsmith & DUOLH [You just know you are being watched everywhere': Young People,  
&XWRGLDO \(ISHULHQFHVDQG&RPP&LW&DIHW](#) in Peter Squires (Ed.) **Community Safety:  
Critical Perspectives on Policy and Practice** . Bristol: Policy (2006)

ROGVPLWK &DUOLH &DPHUDV &RSV DQG &RQWUDFWV :KDW Social Behaviour  
ODQDJHPHQW )HHOV /LNH WR RQJ 3HRSOH,Q 3HWHU 6T&UHV (G **ASBO Nation: The  
Criminalisation of Nuisance** . Bristol: Policy Press (2008)

Goldsmith, &DUOLH,µWIXWIHHOVOLNHLWYDOZDVVRQJ3HRSOH6DIHWDOQG  
&RPP&LW&QSKOLVKHG3K'8QLYHUVLWRI%ULJKWRQ

UHHQ(OLWFKHOO: DQG%QWRQ5&RQWH[W&DOLVLQJ5LVNDQG'DQJHUQ  
QDOVLVRIQJ3HRSOH,Y3HUFHSWLRQRRI5LVN¶**Journal of Youth Studies.** Vol. 3 (2): 109-  
126.

QWHUQWKRODQG: DWW3DQDIDWLQJRRLOJWRFRROHJHDOGZRUNLOJROURDGRXK  
WUDQVLWLRQVDQGF&W&HVLQDQ(DVW/ROGRQOHLJKER**Journal of Youth Studies.** 12  
(5): 515-529 (2009)

Hall, Stuart. and Jefferson, Tony. **Resistance through Rituals.** London: Hutchinson.  
(1976)

EOO&ROOLQV3DWULFLD,KH1HZ3ROLWLFVRI&RPP&LW**American Sociological Review** . 75  
(1) 7-30 (2010)

.DW]-DFN,LPHIRU1HZ8UEDQ(WKQRJUDSKLHV¶**Ethnography** , 11 (25): 23 ± 44 (2010)

/HD-RKQ,RFLLDO&ULPH5HYLVLWHG**Theoretical Criminology.** 3 (3): 307-325 (1999)

Loney, Martin. **Community Against Government. The British Community Development  
Project 1968 -78: A Study of Government I152>-9<2502 57<001Dm5(r5(d)-3(y )3(o)5(f)-8( G)-5(o)5(v)-o3-3(ment)-5(**



Journal of

Democracy. 6: 65-78 (1999)

5DOSKV5REHUW0HGLQD-DQMRDQG0GULGJH-0LWKµKROHHGVHQHPLHVZLWKIULHQGV  
like these? 7KHLPSRUWDQFHRISODFHIRUR0JSHRSOHOLYLOJLQQRZQJDQJDUHDV¶

Journal of Youth Studies. 12 (5): 483-500 (2009)

Rhodes, Chris.



Connected Communities is a cross-Council Programme being led by the AHRC in partnership with the EPSRC, ESRC, MRC and NERC and a range of external partners. The current vision for the Programme is:

to mobilise the potential of increasingly inter-connected, culturally diverse, communities to enhance participation, prosperity, sustainability, health & well-being by better connecting research, stakeholders and communities

Further details about the Programme can be found on the [www.ahrc.ac.uk/FundingOpportunities/Pages/connectedcommunities.aspx](http://www.ahrc.ac.uk/FundingOpportunities/Pages/connectedcommunities.aspx) pages at:

[www.ahrc.ac.uk/FundingOpportunities/Pages/connectedcommunities.aspx](http://www.ahrc.ac.uk/FundingOpportunities/Pages/connectedcommunities.aspx)

